

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Sarantopoulos Declaration of Trust

DOCKET NO.: 21-54334.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-35-419-063-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Sarantopoulos Declaration of Trust, the appellant, by attorney Ciarra J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,580 IMPR.: \$21,258 TOTAL: \$26,838

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a split-level dwelling of masonry construction with 1,546 square feet of living area which is approximately 67 years old. Features of the home include 1½ baths, a partial basement finished with a formal recreation room, and central air conditioning. The property has a 5,580 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some descriptive information such as the subject's unfinished basement was drawn from the evidence submitted by the board of review and not refuted by the appellant via a rebuttal filing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Split-level residence with a lower level below grade (ground level) all ages, all sizes.

comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of split-level class 2-34 dwellings of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,475 to 1,562 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 45 to 63 years old. Each comparable features a full unfinished basement and central air conditioning. Three comparables each have one fireplace, and four comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$13,229 to \$14,748 or from \$8.80 to \$10.00 per square foot of living area. The appellant also submitted a brief along with the property information sheets from the Cook County Assessor's database for the comparable properties. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction to the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,838. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,258 or \$13.75 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within ¼ of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of split-level class 2-34 dwellings of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,477 to 1,554 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 59 to 66 years old. Each comparable features either 1½ or 2 baths, a partial basement finished with a formal recreation room, and central air conditioning. Two comparables each have one fireplace, and three comparables have either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$22,569 to \$24,741 or from \$15.28 to \$15.92 per square foot of living area.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables based on their unfinished basements, dissimilar to the subject's basement finished with a formal recreation room. On this record, the Board finds the best evidence of equity in assessment to be board of review comparables which are overall most similar to the subject in finished basement area, location, age, design/class, and some features. However, three of these comparables have a garage which the subject lacks, thus requiring adjustments to these comparables for this difference from the subject in order to make them more equivalent to the subject property. The best comparables in this record have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,569 to \$24,741 or from \$15.28 to \$15.92 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21,258 or \$13.75 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per square foot of living area basis. However, the subject's

lower assessment appears logical given its lack of a garage feature, which three of the four best comparables have.

After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject such as garage feature, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 15, 2025
	14:10 16
	Mana
<del></del>	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Paul Sarantopoulos Declaration of Trust, by attorney: Ciarra Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602