



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Matthew & Carolyn Smith & Chandler
DOCKET NO.: 21-54004.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-23-123-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew & Carolyn Smith & Chandler, the appellant(s), by attorney Joe Huang, of the Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,875
IMPR.: \$37,125
TOTAL: \$59,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 6,250 square foot site improved with a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,176 square feet of living area. The dwelling on the property is approximately 99 years old. Features include a full basement and a two-and-a-half-car garage. The subject property is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County and is classified as a 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based, in part, on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on March 29, 2019, for a price of \$590,000 or \$271.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The evidence of sale consisted of a copy of a warranty deed, settlement statement, and MLS print-out. The settlement statement lists attorneys' fees and real estate commission paid. The appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of their appeal, indicating that the sale was not

between family members or related corporations, the subject was sold by a realtor, and was advertised for sale on the multiple listing service for 170 days. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to \$59,000.

The appellant also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six suggested class 2-05 equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The suggested comparable properties are of either frame or frame and masonry construction, range in size from 1,772 to 2,128 square feet of living area, and range in age from 85 to 127 years old. The appellant reported that the suggested comparable properties were between 0.12 to 0.47 miles from the subject property and have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.47 to \$19.64 per square foot of living area. The appellant included a copy of the board of review decision letter with an assessed valuation of the subject as \$70,487. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to \$59,476.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,487. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$704,870 or \$323.93 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% as determined by under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$48,612 or \$22.34 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparable properties, three of which also included sales data. The suggested comparables were of either frame or masonry construction and located either within a quarter mile or within the subarea of the subject. The suggested comparable properties range in size from 1,799 to 2,068 square feet of living area and range in age from 99 to 115 years. The three sales comparables sold for between \$758,000 and \$800,650. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$22.65 to \$29.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant also selected "recent appraisal" on its Appeal Form as a basis of its appeal but did not include any evidence in support.

At hearing on 7/16, with all parties receiving timely and proper notice of the Webex hearing, the board of review failed to appear. After waiting approximately thirty-five minutes and attempting contact with the board of review, the Board found the board of review in default pursuant to section 1910.69(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board.

Conclusion of Law

As a preliminary matter, the Board finds the appellant failed to provide the required evidence in support of its selected "recent appraisal" argument and the Board declines to draw any unsupported conclusions on that basis.

The appellant first contends that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the

property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in March 2019 for a price of \$590,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related and the property had been advertised on the open market for 170 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of a settlement statement and warranty deed. The Board finds the purchase price was below the market value reflected by the assessment. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$590,000 as of January 1, 2021, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since market value has been determined, the Board finds that the subject is now fairly and equitably assessed. See Central Nursing Realty, LLC v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 2020 IL App (1st) 180994, ¶¶ 34-36.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Matthew & Carolyn Smith & Chandler, by attorney:
Joe Huang
Law Offices of Terrence Kennedy Jr.
180 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2650
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602