



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Juan Amparan
DOCKET NO.: 21-53216.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 20-08-113-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Juan Amparan, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,850
IMPR.: \$8,330
TOTAL: \$11,180

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a 2-story multi-family building of frame exterior construction with 2,000 square feet of gross building area and is approximately 128 years old. Features include a slab foundation. Improvement #2 is a 1-story dwelling with 800 square feet of living area and is approximately 131 years old.¹ Features include a slab foundation. The subject has a 3,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 are classified as class 2-11 and 2-02 properties, respectively, under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

¹ Property characteristics for Improvement #2, which were undisclosed in the appellant's appeal petition, were gleaned from computer printouts provided by the board of review and unrefuted by the appellant.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to Improvement #1 only as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted two grid analyses with information on five equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. For clarity in the record, the single comparable on the second grid was renumbered as #5. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,860 to 2,400 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 129 to 144 years old. Three comparables each have a full basement and two comparables each have a crawl space foundation. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. Two comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$2,650 to \$4,555 or from \$1.31 to \$1.90 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the improvement assessment for Improvement #1 be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for this property of \$11,180 which includes both improvements. The board of review provided computer printouts which disclosed that the allocation of the total improvement assessment of \$8,330 between the two improvements was \$6,664 or \$3.33 per square foot of building area for Improvement #1 and \$1,666 or \$2.08 per square foot of building area for Improvement #2, which was unrefuted by the appellant.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #1, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,704 to 2,000 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 130 to 137 years old. Two comparables each have a full basement and two comparables each have a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has from a 1-car to a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,150 to \$7,150 or from \$3.33 to \$4.20 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's improvement assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Initially, the Board finds the appellant is requesting a reduction for Improvement #1 only.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #4, and #5 as well as the board of review comparables #1 and #4 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other comparables in this record and/or feature a basement foundation, which the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 as well as board of review comparables #2 and #3 which are overall most similar to the subject in design/class, age, and building size with varying degrees of similarity in garage amenity and other features suggesting appropriate adjustments would be necessary to make them more equivalent to the subject. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,126 to \$7,150 or from \$1.55 to \$3.58 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6,664 or \$3.33 per square foot of gross building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate that Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed and a reduction in its improvement assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Juan Amparan, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602