

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nenad Jakoljevic DOCKET NO.: 21-52875.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 20-36-101-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nenad Jakoljevic, the appellant, by attorney Andrew S. Dziuk, of Andrew Dziuk, Esq. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,906 **IMPR.:** \$7,093 **TOTAL:** \$10,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,100 square feet of living area which is approximately 62 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,076 to 1,193 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 61 to 106 years old. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement; two comparables each have a fireplace; and three

comparables have either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,094 to \$6,094 or from \$4.67 to \$5.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$10,999. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$7,093 or \$6.45 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 1-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction ranging in size from 1,008 to 1,278 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 66 to 97 years old. Each comparable features a 2-car garage and a full basement, one with formal recreation room, and three comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,843 to \$12,843 or from \$6.79 to \$10.05 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested a confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #3, along with board of review comparables #3 and #4 based on their significantly older ages relative to the subject dwelling. The Board finds the best evidence of equity in assessment to be appellant's comparables #1 and #4, along with board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are most similar to the subject dwelling in age as well as location, design, dwelling size and some features. However, board of review comparables #1 and #2 have central air conditioning and/or finished basement area, and appellant's comparable #1 has a fireplace, none of which are features of the subject dwelling, suggesting that downward adjustments are needed to these comparables due to differences from the subject. Nevertheless, the most similar comparables in the record have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,574 to \$10,922 or from \$4.67 to \$9.17 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$7,093 or \$6.45 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in the record both on a per square foot of living area basis and in terms of overall improvement assessment.

After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not establish by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C E R 7</u>	<u> TIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 21, 2025
	111:10)16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nenad Jakoljevic, by attorney: Andrew S. Dziuk Andrew Dziuk, Esq. 525 North Ada Street #29 Chicago, IL 60642

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602