



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lucia Vucicevic  
DOCKET NO.: 21-51598.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-13-213-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lucia Vucicevic, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,640  
**IMPR.:** \$63,894  
**TOTAL:** \$72,534

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,778 square feet of living area. As of the instant lien date the subject was approximately 108 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, no air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The subject has a 3,600 square foot site and is in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and assessment equity.

With respect to the overvaluation argument, Appellant submitted information on four suggested sales comparables. They are masonry and wood construction. None have air conditioning. As of the lien date, Appellant's comparables ages range from 102 to 111 years old. Appellant's compa-

ables range in size from 2,588 square feet to 3,775 square feet of living area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 3,480 to 3,780 square feet of land area. The comparables sold between August 1, 2020 and December 17, 2021 for prices ranging from \$550,000 to \$652,000 or from \$171.07 to \$236.06 per square foot of living area, including land. Each comparable has the same neighborhood code as the subject property, with no further evidence of proximity to the subject provided.

With respect to the equity argument, Appellant submitted information on nine suggested comparable two-story dwellings. Six are masonry construction, and three are wood. Two have air conditioning. As of the lien date, Appellant's comparables ages range from 108 to 114 years old. Appellant's comparables range between 2,272 and 3,080 square feet of living area and improvement assessments between \$17.65 to \$19.90 per square foot of living area. Each comparable has the same neighborhood code as the subject property, with proximities ranging from .03 miles to .13 miles from the subject.

The Cook County Board of Review (BOR) submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The subject's assessment is \$72,534 which reflects a market value of \$725,340 using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$261.10 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject also has a total improvement assessment of \$63,894 or \$23.000 per square foot of living area.

With respect to the overvaluation argument, the BOR submitted two sales comparables in support of its final assessment. The properties are described as two-story dwellings. These BOR comparables share the same neighborhood code as the subject and are located on the same block as the subject. They are masonry construction. Both lack air conditioning. As of the lien date the BOR comparables are between 105 and 112 years old. The comparables range between 2,532 and 2,736 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites that have 3,600 square feet of land area. The comparables sold between November 6, 2020 and June 23, 2021 for prices between \$797,450 and \$1,375,000 or \$314.95 and \$502.56 per square foot of living area, including land.

With respect to the equity argument, the BOR submitted four equity comparables in support of its final assessment. The properties are described as two-story dwellings, all located on the same block as the subject. All four BOR comparables share the same neighborhood code as the subject. All are masonry construction. None have air conditioning. As of the lien date the BOR comparables range from 105 to 112 years old. The comparables range from 2,532 to 2,736 square feet of building area and have improvement assessments between \$23.52 and \$26.60 per square foot of building area.

### **Conclusions of Law**

Appellant contends assessment inequity and that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation as the bases of the appeal.

#### **Overvaluation**

When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value of the property must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c).

With respect to the overvaluation argument, the Board finds Appellant did **not** meet this burden of proof, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** warranted.

The Board finds Appellant comparables 1 and 4 and BOR comparables 2 and 3 are most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, age and land area. These properties also sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. Due to the similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$607,000 to \$1,375,000 or from \$234.54 to \$502.56 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$261.10 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did **not** demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** justified.

#### **Equity**

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds Appellant did **not** meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be BOR comparables 1 and 2 and Appellant comparables 1 and 3. The five comparables have similar improvements to the subject. All four comparables are masonry, same as the subject. All four comparables are within six years of the subject's age. Appellant comparables are within .13 miles of the subject; the BOR comparables are on the same block as the subject.

These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$19.50 to \$25.92 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$23.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

Based on this record, the Board finds Appellant did **not** demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is **not** justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

February 18, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lucia Vucicevic, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602