



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ami Martin
DOCKET NO.: 21-50494.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-16-117-047-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ami Martin, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$12,586
IMPR.: \$49,414
TOTAL: \$62,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,560 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 15 years old. Features include a full basement with finished area, 2½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,495 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on nine comparables, eight of which are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 dwellings of frame exterior construction containing either 2,705 or 2,806 square feet of living area. The homes are either 17 or 18 years old. Each

comparable has a full basement, 3 bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$42,000 to \$51,750 or from \$15.53 to \$18.44 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,602 or \$15.86 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$49,414 or \$19.30 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code and either the same block or within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,400 to 2,666 square feet of living area. The comparables are either 4 or 15 years old. Features include full basements with finished area, 2½ or 3½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$48,691 to \$61,345 or from \$20.29 to \$25.32 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant noted that board of review comparable #2 differed from the subject in exterior construction.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of twelve suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #9, due to a differing neighborhood code and larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #3, due to its newer age of 4 years when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 through #8 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are each similar to the subject in location, age, design, foundation, air conditioning, fireplace and garage amenities. The appellant's comparables are each 7% larger than the subject dwelling and the board of review

comparables also differ from the subject in size, requiring adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject. According to the evidence, the appellant did not report if the comparables have basement finish like the subject, which likewise would necessitate adjustment. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$42,000 to \$55,252 or from \$15.53 to \$20.72 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$49,414 or \$19.30 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences in comparison to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

January 21, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ami Martin, by attorney:
Abby L. Strauss
Schiller Law P.C.
33 North Dearborn
Suite 1130
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602