



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Robert L. Stack  
DOCKET NO.: 21-49715.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-14-122-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Robert L. Stack, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Inverness; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,150  
**IMPR.:** \$28,850  
**TOTAL:** \$39,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1,545 square feet, multi-level frame structure on a 3,625 square feet lot in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The 105-year-old home, a class 2-03 residence under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, featured 1.5 bathrooms, air conditioning, a full basement, and a two-car garage.

The appellant bases the petition on assessment inequity and requests the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) decrease the assessment to \$14.52 per improvement square foot to be uniform.<sup>1</sup> As evidence of inequity, the appellant detailed the attributes of eight class 2-03 residences within a quarter mile of the subject. These suggested comparables featured a one- to two-car garage, one to two bathrooms, and a full basement or slab foundation. These potential comparators were 107 to

---

<sup>1</sup> The petition indicates that the appeal is also based in a contention of law, but PTAB did not discern substantive arguments or evidence independent of the equity argument.

121 years in building age; 1,458 to 1,580 square feet in improvement size; and \$14.52 to \$17.65 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$28,850, or \$18.67 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$39,000 total subject assessment, the county board of review selected four frame improvements within a quarter mile of the subject as equity comparables. The board of review’s preferred comparators all featured a full basement, a one- or two-car garage, and one to 2.5 bathrooms. These properties were 107 to 113 years in building age; 1,533 to 1,680 square feet in living area; and \$21.58 to \$26.79 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment is the basis of a property tax appeal, appellants must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should comprise assessment documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties with compelling proximity to, and a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant fell short of satisfying this burden of proof.

Of the parties’ submissions, only board of review comparables #3 and #4 and appellant comparable #4 featured air conditioning—a salient factor in comparing properties—and therefore provide the best evidence of equitable subject improvement assessment. Board of review comparables #3 and #4 only had minor differences in improvement square footage and more bathroom utility, which offset board of review comparable #3’s relatively small garage. Meanwhile, appellant comparable #4 similarly mirrored the subject’s living area and otherwise exactly matched the subject’s amenities. Given the properties in evidence, the subject improvement would be equitably assessed from \$15.43 to \$26.79 per living square foot. Because the subject assessment of \$18.67 per improvement square foot is within range of the equitable assessments, PTAB concludes the appellant did not demonstrate inequitable subject assessment by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction commensurate with the appellant’s request is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Robert L. Stack, by attorney:  
Stephanie Park  
Park & Longstreet, P.C.  
1620 W Colonial Pkwy.  
Inverness, IL 60067

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602