



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

NAPPELLANT: Andrew Gomez  
DOCKET NO.: 21-48881.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-26-104-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Andrew Gomez, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,378  
**IMPR.:** \$32,011  
**TOTAL:** \$43,389

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

A 2,396 square feet, two-story frame structure on a 3,250 square feet parcel in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County comprises the subject property. The 97-year-old, class 2-11 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance contained two bathrooms, a one-car garage, and a slab foundation. The subject last sold in March 1988 for \$117,000.

Contending assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, the appellant argues the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$6.75 per improvement square foot to be uniform. As evidence of inequity, the appellant offered five class 2-11 properties within .44 miles of the subject. The appellant's suggested comparables each featured no garage or a two-car garage, two to four bathrooms, and a full basement or slab foundation. These potential comparators varied from 104 to 115 years in building age; from 2,156 to 2,840 square feet in improvement size; and from \$6.06 to \$8.23 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$32,011, or \$13.36 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$43,389 total subject assessment, the county board of review nominated four buildings within a quarter mile of the subject as equity comparables. The board of review’s preferred comparators all featured a slab foundation, a one- or two-car garage, and two or three bathrooms. These properties were six or 11 years in building age; 2,202 or 2,376 square feet in living area; and \$13.42 or \$14.53 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When the ground for appeal is unequal treatment in the assessment, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment should consist of assessment documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties with compelling proximity to, and a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant fell short of satisfying this burden of proof.

In this record, board of review comparables #2 and #4 and appellant comparable #5 comprise the best evidence of assessment uniformity because they most resembled the subject improvement. Board of review comparable #2 was most similar to the subject in that it only lacked 20 square feet of the subject’s improvement area. Board of review comparable #4 similarly matched the subject’s attributes, though it lacked more of the subject’s living square footage. Similarly, appellant comparable #5 lacked some of the subject’s living space but compensated for it with an extra full bathroom, larger garage, and two fireplaces. Given these properties, an equitable improvement assessment for the subject would fall between \$8.23 and \$13.52 per living square foot. Because the subject assessment of \$13.36 per improvement square foot lands within this range, PTAB concludes the appellant did not demonstrate inequitable assessment by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction commensurate with the appellant’s request is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Andrew Gomez, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602