

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Carmen Scalise

DOCKET NO.: 21-48795.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 17-33-123-121-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carmen Scalise, the appellant, by Mary Kate Gorman, Attorney at Law in Tinley Park; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,456 **IMPR.:** \$45,544 **TOTAL:** \$62,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,483 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 15 years old. The home features a full unfinished basement, two full bathrooms and one half bathroom.¹ The property has a 3,740 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located from .42 to .98 of a mile from the subject property.

¹ The board of review disclosed the subject dwelling has a full unfinished basement and an additional half bathroom, which were not refuted by the appellant.

The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,078 to 3,464 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 29 to 46 years old. Two comparables each have a concrete slab foundation and one comparable has a partial basement, however no data was provided by the appellant concerning basement finish, if any, of this comparable. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two full bathrooms, a fireplace and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Two comparables each have either one or two additional half bathrooms. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$18,679 to \$35,246 or from \$5.39 to \$11.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$29,431 or \$8.45 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$45,544 or \$13.08 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located within the same block as the subject, one of which is also along the same street as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,990 to 3,615 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 18 to 25 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, one of which is finished with a formal recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning and two full bathrooms. Three comparables each have a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Comparable #2 has other improvements but the board of review did not provide a description of these improvements. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$44,590 to \$55,840 or from \$13.31 to \$17.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their considerably older dwelling ages, when compared to the subject. Additionally, the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 have dissimilar concrete slab foundations, when compared to the subject and the appellant's comparable #3 has a smaller dwelling size, when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #2 and #4 due to their smaller dwelling sizes, when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #3, which are overall more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, foundation type and age. However, both comparables have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments of \$45,258 and \$55,840 or \$13.31 and \$15.45 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$45,544 or \$13.08 per square foot of living area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record in terms of total improvement assessment and below the comparables on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	Midd 214

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Carmen Scalise, by attorney: Mary Kate Gorman Attorney at Law 18400 Maple Creek Drive Suite 200 Tinley Park, IL 60477

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602