



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Juan Prieto
DOCKET NO.: 21-48706.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-28-212-031-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Juan Prieto, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,625
IMPR.: \$23,378
TOTAL: \$29,003

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1,663 square feet, multi-level masonry building on a 3,750 square feet parcel in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The 100-year-old, class 2-03 residence under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured 1.5 bathrooms, a full basement, and a two-car garage.

The appellant pleads assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$9.02 per living square foot. To show subject assessment nonuniformity, the appellant introduced five class 2-03 properties within .75 miles of the subject into evidence. These suggested comparables included one to two bathrooms, a full basement, and two fireplaces. The appellant's selections spanned 94 to 110 years in building age; 1,656 to 1,770 square feet in improvement area; and \$8.29 to \$9.89 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$23,375, or \$14.06 per living square foot, was equitable in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$29,003 total subject assessment, the county board of review selected four masonry buildings within a quarter mile of the subject as assessment comparables. The county board of review’s preferred comparators contained 1.5 or two bathrooms, a full basement, and a two-car garage. These improvements ranged from 94 to 106 years old; from 1,563 to 1,654 square feet in area; and from \$14.74 to \$16.23 per living square foot in improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment is the basis of a property tax appeal, the appellant must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should comprise documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the assessment subject. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not overcome this burden of proof.

Of the properties in evidence, board of review comparables #2 and #4 and appellant comparable #4 compared most favorably to the subject and therefore establish the range of equitable subject improvement assessments. As the only board of review comparables without air conditioning (like the subject), comparators #2 and #4 both contained less living space than the subject improvement, though board of review comparable #4 somewhat mitigated that difference by substituting the subject’s half bathroom with a full bathroom. Appellant comparable #4 anchors the low end of the range because it featured a marginally smaller improvement and one fewer half bathroom than the subject, though it did have two fireplaces. Given this record, the subject improvement would be equitably assessed within the comparators’ \$9.89 to \$15.25 per living square foot range. Because the subject’s \$14.06 per improvement square foot assessment falls below this equitable range, PTAB finds the appellant did not provide sufficiently clear and convincing evidence that the subject assessment was inequitable or that a reduction thereof is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 17, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Juan Prieto, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602