



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Timothy Hadle
DOCKET NO.: 21-48447.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-16-317-037-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Timothy Hadle, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,657
IMPR.: \$25,677
TOTAL: \$36,334

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1,454 square feet masonry building on a 3,806 square feet lot in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The 104-year-old home, a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, contained one bathroom, a full basement, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property last sold in August 1986.

Contesting the \$25,677 subject improvement assessment as inequitable, the appellant contends that assessment equity demands the assessment rate be reduced to \$12.79 per improvement square foot instead. To show that the subject assessment is not on par with those of similar properties, the appellant proposed five class 2-03 properties within .64 miles of the subject as equity comparables. These suggested comparators each had one or two fireplaces, up to four full bathrooms, and a full basement. The appellant's selections also ranged between 97 and 105 years in building age; 1,284

and 1,688 in living square footage; and \$12.65 and \$13.19 per improvement square foot in assessment.

The board of review responded that the subject improvement was fairly assessed at \$25,678, or \$17.66 per living square foot in its “Notes on Appeal.” In defense of the \$36,334 total subject assessment, the county board of review offered information about four one-story masonry buildings within a quarter mile of the subject as assessment benchmarks. The board of review’s preferred comparables all featured one or two bathrooms, no fireplace (except submission #4), and a full basement. These properties ranged from 101 to 107 years in building age; 1,266 to 1,569 square feet in improvement area; and \$17.78 to \$25.64 per improvement square foot in assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority’s assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, the appellant must prove the inequity of the assessments by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of not fewer than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not satisfy this burden of proof.

Of the parties’ submissions, board of review comparable #1 and appellant comparables #2 and #5 are most similar to the subject improvement and therefore circumscribe the range of equitable assessments. Board of review comparable #1 occupies the high end of the equitable range because it featured more living area and bathroom utility than the subject, which offset its smaller garage and lack of a fireplace. Appellant comparable #2 similarly contained more living square footage than the subject but lacked a garage. Finally, appellant comparable #5 closely mirrored the subject’s improvement area, though it had a smaller garage. Given these comparables, the subject would be equitably assessed anywhere between \$12.65 and \$19.53 per improvement square foot. Because the subject’s \$17.66 per improvement square foot assessment falls inside this range, PTAB finds the appellant did not produce the requisite evidence to justify a reduction in the subject assessment.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

December 23, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Timothy Hadle, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602