

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Arroyo Raso DOCKET NO.: 21-44820.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 19-01-117-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Arroyo Raso, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,321 **IMPR.:** \$14,679 **TOTAL:** \$18,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story building of masonry exterior construction with 1,856 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 102 years old and has a concrete slab foundation. The property has a 3,321 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within he same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within 1.5 blocks of the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story buildings of masonry or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,280 to 1,680 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 101 to 110 years old. Three comparables have a concrete slab foundation and one comparable has a basement. Three comparables have a 2-car garage. The

comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9,185 to \$12,679 or from \$7.10 to \$8.80 per square foot of gross building area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$18,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$14,679 or \$7.91 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and on the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,680 to 1,908 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 100 to 105 years old. Two comparables have a concrete slab foundation and two comparables have a basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,925 to \$21,925 or from \$9.14 to \$11.49 per square foot of gross building area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued the board of review's comparables each have a garage unlike the subject and are situated on the front of the lot whereas the subject building is situated on the back of its lot. The appellant contended the board of review's comparable #2 was converted to four apartments including basement and attic apartments.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4, due to substantial differences from the subject in building size and/or foundation type.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #3, which are more similar to the subject in building size, age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$11,925 to \$16,679 or from \$7.10 to \$9.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$14,679 or \$7.91 per square foot of living area falls within the range

established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	TIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 18, 2024
	1111216
	Man O
	C1 - u1 £ 41 - Du - u - u4 - T A - u 1 D u - 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

David Arroyo Raso 3021 W. 41st Pl Chicago, IL 60632

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602