

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Hector Rubalcava DOCKET NO.: 21-44787.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-29-309-049-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hector Rubalcava, the appellant, by attorney Brianna L. Golan, of Golan Christie Taglia LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,944 **IMPR.:** \$38,877 **TOTAL:** \$47,821

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,328 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 16 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, 3 full bathrooms, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a 2,236 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.30 to 0.80 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78, 2-story or 3-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,090 to 2,470 square feet of

living area. The dwellings are 12 to 28 years old. Each comparable has a basement, four of which are finished with a recreation room or an apartment, 2 or 3 full bathrooms, and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Four comparables each have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$27,496 to \$30,960 or from \$12.53 to \$13.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$30,287.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$47,821. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$38,877 or \$16.70 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within the subject's same block and street or approximately 1/4 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78, 2-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,328 to 2,547 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 2 or 16 years old. Each comparable has a basement, three of which have finished area, 2 to 4 full bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. One comparable has 1 additional half bathroom, and one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,903 to \$61,000 or from \$16.76 to \$23.95 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are less similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age, basement finish, and/or other features than the other comparables in this record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #3 and #4 which are located within the same block and street as the subject and are also practically identical to the subject in property characteristics. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$40,903 and \$45,040 or \$17.57 and \$19.35 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$38,877 or \$16.70 per square foot of living area falls below the improvement assessments of the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C. R.	Sobot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	111:1016
	Man O
	Clark of the Doorsets Tare Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hector Rubalcava, by attorney: Brianna L. Golan Golan Christie Taglia LLP 70 West Madison Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602