



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Katherine Doersch  
DOCKET NO.: 21-42992.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 03-19-407-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Katherine Doersch, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C., in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,750  
**IMPR.:** \$24,333  
**TOTAL:** \$29,083

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,359 square feet of living area and which is approximately 58 years old. Features include a full basement finished with a formal recreation room, 2 bathrooms, and a one-car garage. The property has a 7,601 square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within .66 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of masonry

exterior construction which range in age from 56 to 67 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,415 to 1,591 square feet of living area and have full basements finished with a formal recreation room, 1 to 3 full bathrooms where four comparables each have a half-bath, and either a 1-car, 2-car or 2.5-car garage. Three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,279 to \$23,854 or from \$12.00 to \$15.84 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$19,474 or \$14.33 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$29,083. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$24,333 or \$17.91 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-03 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction which range in age from 42 to 92 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,236 to 1,413 square feet of living area and have full or partial basements, two of which have formal recreation rooms, 1 to 2½ bathrooms, and a one-car or a two-car garage. Comparable #4 has central air conditioning and three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,797 to \$24,738 or from \$17.19 to \$19.49 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2, due to significant differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject property. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3, due to inferior basement finish when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparables #1 and #4, which are each relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, foundation type, basement finish, bathroom count and some other features. Adjustments for small differences in dwelling size, age and/or garage

capacity are necessary when compared to the subject. Three comparables need to be adjusted downward for fireplace features which is not a feature of the subject. Board of review comparable #4 has central air conditioning which is not a feature of the subject suggesting a downward adjustment is necessary as well. But for board of review comparable #1, the subject dwelling is newer than four of the best equity comparables in the record. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,279 to \$24,086 or from \$12.00 to \$19.49 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24,333 or \$17.91 per square foot of living area is above the range of the best comparables in terms of overall improvement assessment but within the range on a per-square-foot of living area basis. The Board finds the subject's assessment is logical after considering adjustments for differences from the subject.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

May 20, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Katherine Doersch, by attorney:  
Eric Feldman  
Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C.  
53 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Suite 1622  
Chicago, IL 60604

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602