



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Richard Aurelle
DOCKET NO.: 21-42865.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-18-108-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Aurelle, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$41,445
IMPR.: \$63,770
TOTAL: \$105,215

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,504 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 127 years old. Features include a full basement and a 2-car garage. Improvement #2 is a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 637 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is approximately 14 years old. Features of the dwelling included a partial basement central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The subject has a 4,605 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 are classified as class 2-11 and 2-07 properties, respectively, under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

¹ Property characteristics for Improvement #2, which was undisclosed in the appellant's appeal petition, were gleaned from computer printouts provided by the board of review and unrefuted by the appellant.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to Improvement #1 only as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. For clarity in the record, the single comparable on the second grid was renumbered as #5. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,344 to 2,856 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 113 to 124 years old. The comparables each have a full basement and two fireplaces. Three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,109 to \$31,924 or from \$7.82 to \$11.33 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the improvement assessment for Improvement #1 be reduced to \$24,664.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for this property of \$63,770, which includes both improvements. Computer printouts submitted by the board of review disclosed that the improvement assessments for Improvement #1 is \$41,916 or \$16.74 per square foot of gross building area and for Improvement #2 is \$21,854 or \$34.31 per square foot of gross building area, which were unrefuted by the appellant.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #1, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story, class 2-11 buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,364 to 3,654 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 107 to 119 years old. Each comparable has a full basement and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. One comparable has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,690 to \$82,875 or from \$21.19 to \$25.65 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's improvement assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Initially, the Board finds the appellant is requesting a reduction for Improvement #1 only.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 through #4 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other comparables in this record or lack a garage amenity, which the subject features. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #2 which has a 3-story

design, in contrast to the subject's 2-story design, differs substantially from the subject in dwelling size, and features central air conditioning, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #5 and board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 which are similar to the subject in design/class, age, and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,824 to \$60,625 or from \$11.33 to \$25.65 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$41,916 or \$16.74 per square foot of gross building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

December 23, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Richard Aurelle, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602