



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Joseph Dadabo
DOCKET NO.: 21-42606.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-307-061-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joseph Dadabo, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,550
IMPR.: \$50,450
TOTAL: \$62,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story townhome of masonry exterior construction with 2,016 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 33 years old. Features include a full basement, central air conditioning, and one fireplace. The property has a 770 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on two grid analyses for five equity comparables with the subject's assessment neighborhood code and located 0.06 to 0.16 of a mile from the subject. For clarity in the record, the single comparable on the second grid was renumbered #5. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-95 townhomes of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,057 to 2,201 square feet of living area. The

dwelling range in age from 27 to 33 years old. Each comparable has slab foundation, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 1-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,690 to \$43,623 or from \$18.32 to \$19.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$50,450 or \$25.02 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the subject's assessment neighborhood code. One comparable is located on same block and located along the same street as the subject and three comparables are ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-95 townhomes of masonry exterior construction with either 2,016 or 2,082 square feet of living area. The dwellings are each 28 years old. One comparable has a full basement and three comparables have a slab foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning. One comparable has two fireplaces. Three comparables each have a 1-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of either \$55,070 or \$59,375 and of either \$26.45 or \$29.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration with each having the same assessment neighborhood code, design, and classification as the subject. The Board finds the parties' comparables to be similar to the subject in age, and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarity in foundation type and other features which would require appropriate adjustments for these differences to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,690 to \$59,375 or from \$18.32 to \$29.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$50,450 or \$25.02 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

December 23, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Joseph Dadabo, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602