

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Edward Dangelo DOCKET NO.: 21-41936.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-08-200-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Edward Dangelo, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,304 **IMPR.:** \$14,252 **TOTAL:** \$23,556

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of stucco construction with 1,208 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 102 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, one full bathroom and a 1½ car garage. The property has a 4,650 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 3,750 to 5,625 square feet of land area. The comparables are class 2-03 properties that are improved with dwellings of stucco or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,248 to 1,411 square feet

of living area. The dwellings are from 96 to 101 years old. The comparables each have a full basement. However, the appellant did not address whether there was basement finish. The appellant reported that each comparable has central air conditioning, one full bathroom and either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. Comparable #1 also has one half bathroom. The properties sold from September 2019 to December 2020 for prices ranging from \$215,000 to \$241,000 or from \$155.92 to \$187.26 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$20,861, reflecting a market value of \$208,610 or \$172.69 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,238. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$272,380 or \$225.48 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. Sales data was provided for comparable #2. Since no sales data was provided for comparables #1, #3 and #4 in order to address the appellant's overvaluation argument, these three comparables will not be further addressed in this analysis. The board of review's comparable #2 is a class 2-03 property that has a 3,720 square foot site. The property is improved with a 1.5-story dwelling of frame exterior construction containing 1,293 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 98 years old and has a full unfinished basement, one full bathroom, one half bathroom and a 2-car garage. This property sold in March 2020 for \$290,000 or \$224.28 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains five comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, which had sale dates that occurred in 2019, less proximate in time to the January 1, 2021 assessment date than the other comparable sales in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #4, along with board of review comparable #2, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. These three comparables are also similar to the subject in location, property classification, dwelling size, age and some features. The comparables sold from March to

December 2020 for prices ranging from \$225,000 to \$290,000 or from \$180.29 to \$224.28 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$272,380 or \$225.48 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in record in terms of overall value but above the comparables on a price per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is excessive. Therefore, based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 21, 2025
	111:10)16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Edward Dangelo, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602