

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rich Wolnik
DOCKET NO.: 21-41907.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-05-313-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rich Wolnik, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$8,553 **IMPR.:** \$33,450 **TOTAL:** \$42,003

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of frame exterior construction with 2,136 square feet of living area. The building is approximately 66 years old. Features of the property include a concrete slab foundation and a 1-car garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has an approximately 3,420 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the grid analysis submitted by the board of review, disclosing the subject lacks central air conditioning, which was not refuted by the appellant.

3,250 to 3,750 square feet of land area and are improved with class 2-11 buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,204 to 2,992 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 53 to 102 years old. Three comparables have a concrete slab foundation and one comparable has an unfinished basement. Each building has central air conditioning and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The properties sold from January 2019 to January 2021 for prices ranging from \$399,000 to \$499,900 or from \$159.58 to \$186.03 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$36,859 which reflects a market value of \$368,590 or \$172.56 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,003. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$420,030 or \$196.64 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.00% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites with 3,350 or 3,720 square feet of land area and are improved with 1-story or 2-story class 2-11 buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,872 to 2,462 square feet of living area. The buildings range in age from 62 to 95 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area. Three properties have either a 1.5-car or 2-car garage. The comparables sold from September 2019 to September 2021 for prices ranging from \$432,000 to \$505,500 or from \$199.33 to \$256.30 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value of the property must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #2 and #3 along with each of the board of review comparables which differ from the subject in foundation type, finished basement amenity and/or sold in 2019, less proximate to the January 1, 2023 assessment date than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant comparables #1 and #4 which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and are more similar to the subject in location, design, foundation type and other features. However, these two best comparables are larger in building size and one is older in age when compared to the subject, suggesting adjustments are needed to make these properties more equivalent to the subject. These two comparables sold in

September 2020 and January 2021 for prices of \$410,000 and \$455,750 or \$159.58 and \$186.03 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's market value of \$420,030 or \$196.64 per square foot of living area, land included falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall market value basis and above the range on a per square foot basis. Accepted real estate theory provides that, all things being equal, as the size of a property increases, the per unit value decreases. In contrast, as the size of a property decreases, the per unit value increases. Therefore, given the subject's smaller building size, a higher per square foot value appears to be logical. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is justified and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 17, 2024
	Wide 215

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Rich Wolnik, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602