

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Christopher Radke

DOCKET NO.: 21-41885.001-R-1 through 21-41885.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christopher Radke, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
21-41885.001-R-1	10-07-310-021-0000	4,416	16,868	\$21,284
21-41885.002-R-1	10-07-310-022-0000	1,104	0	\$1,104

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry construction with 865 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 67 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a site with a combined total of approximately 6,900 square feet of land area and is located in Glenview, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are improved with dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 976 to 985 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 60 to 80 years

old. The comparables each have a full basement. No data was provided by the appellant concerning finished basement area. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$16,037 to \$16,690 or from \$16.30 to \$17.10 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$14,497 or \$16.76 per square foot of living area.

The appellant's submission included a copy of the "Cook County Board of Review" final decision which disclosed the subject has a total assessment for the two parcels of \$22,388. The appellant reported in section 2c(1) and in the addendum of the appeal petition that the subject has an improvement assessment of \$16,868.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal disclosing PIN #10-07-310-021-0000 has a total assessment of \$21,284 and an improvement assessment of \$16,868 or \$19.50 per square foot of living area. The board of review also indicated the second PIN, #10-07-310-022 is a class 2-41 property that has a total market value of \$11,040 reflecting an assessed value of \$1,104.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located within ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 852 to 979 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 64 to 93 years old. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Two comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$17,152 to \$25,564 or from \$19.93 to \$26.11 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #4, as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3 which have older dwelling ages when compared to the subject and/or lack central air conditioning, a feature of the subject.

¹ According to the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, a class 2-41 property is described as "vacant land under common ownership with adjacent residence."

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3, along with board of review comparables #2 and #4, which overall are more similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and some features. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$16,037 to \$18,404 or from \$16.30 to \$21.60 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16,868 or \$19.50 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
	assert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

111:11-21
Man Co

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christopher Radke, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602