

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: LA Commercial, LLC DOCKET NO.: 21-40488.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-28-123-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are LA Commercial, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$37,500 IMPR.: \$68,289 TOTAL: \$105,789

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story<sup>1</sup> mixed-use building of masonry exterior construction with 5,253 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 101 years old. Features of the building include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two full bathrooms and one-half bathroom. The property has a 3,750 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in Chicago, none of which have the same assessment neighborhood code as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The photographic evidence provided by the parties depict the subject building with a three-story design.

the subject. The comparables are class 2-12 properties that are improved with three-story<sup>2</sup> mixed-use buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,226 to 5,400 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are from 12 to 133 years old. One comparable has a concrete slab foundation and three comparables each have a full or partial basement. No data was provided by the appellant concerning finished basement area. Each comparable has from two to five full bathrooms. Two comparables have central air conditioning and two comparables each have either a one-car or a four-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$56,438 to \$72,000 or from \$10.45 to \$13.78 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$63,824 or \$12.15 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$112,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$74,500 or \$14.18 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are class 2-12 properties that are improved with either two-story or three-story mixed-use buildings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,636 to 4,300 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are from 89 to 137 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial unfinished basement and from two to four full bathrooms. Three comparables each have an additional one or two half bathrooms, three comparables have central air conditioning and one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$44,206 to \$65,500 or from \$15.23 to \$16.77 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant argued that the board of review comparables differ from the subject in "living area."

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the appellant's comparables are overall more similar to the subject in building size and the board of review comparables are more similar to the subject in location. Nonetheless, the Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its significantly newer building age

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The photographic evidence provided by the appellant depicts each comparable building with a three-story design.

when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to the board of review comparables due to their substantially smaller building sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 are overall more similar to the subject in building size and age. However, these three comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in location and building features, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,438 to \$72,000 or from \$10.45 to \$13.78 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$74,500 or \$14.18 per square foot of gross building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is excessive. Therefore, based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	Midd 214
	Clade of the December Terr Asses I December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

LA Commercial, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Law P.C. 33 North Dearborn Suite 1130 Chicago, IL 60602

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602