

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ebony Walker
DOCKET NO.: 21-39056.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 20-35-224-027-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ebony Walker, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,575 **IMPR.:** \$8,603 **TOTAL:** \$13,178

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story single family dwelling of masonry construction with 1,785 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 103 years old. The subject features three full and one half baths, a full basement with a recreation room, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,660 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity in improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables

¹ Some descriptive information was drawn from the information submitted by the board of review and not refuted by the appellants.

consist of similar class 2-03 single family homes of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,765 to 1,792 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 98 to 101 years old. The comparables each feature a full basement with undisclosed finished area and a 2-car garage. One comparable has two bathrooms, and two comparables each have one full and one half baths. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,488 to \$7,313 or from \$3.68 to \$4.10 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,178. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$8,603 or \$4.82 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of class 2-03 single family homes of masonry construction ranging in size from 1,712 to 1,786 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 80 to 107 years old. Each comparable features a full basement, one with formal recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning; one comparable has a fireplace; and each comparable has either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9,125 to \$16,700 or from \$5.18 to \$9.66 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in terms of location, design, age, dwelling size, and features. However, the board of review comparables #2, #3, and #4 have unfinished basements, dissimilar to the subject's recreation room in the basement, and the appellant's comparables have undisclosed basement finishes. This means that upward adjustments should be considered to these comparables in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the parties' comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,488 to \$16,700 or from \$3.68 to \$9.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$8,603 or \$4.82 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record both on a per square foot of living area basis and in terms of overall improvement assessment.

After considering adjustments to the best comparables for any differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
R	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	ICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 21, 2025
MilAL
Challe of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ebony Walker, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 200 S. Wacker Drive Suite 820 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602