

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Eleanor Park
DOCKET NO.: 21-38941.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-33-119-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eleanor Park, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,554 **IMPR.:** \$17,602 **TOTAL:** \$22,156

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,072 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 64 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,920 square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-03 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,061 to 1,160 square feet of living area. The homes are 64 or 66 years old. Each comparable has a partial or a full basement, but no data was provided if the

basements have finished area, if any. One comparable has central air conditioning, and each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,510 to \$19,791 or from \$15.20 to \$17.06 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$17,602 or \$16.42 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$25,597. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,043 or \$19.63 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and within the subject's same block or a ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of 1-story class 2-03 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,008 to 1,113 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 63 or 64 years old. Each home has a partial or a full basement, one of which has finished area, and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,854 to \$24,264 or from \$17.77 to \$22.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Adm.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration, all of which have a basement in contrast to the subject dwelling that has a crawl space foundation. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 due to their dissimilar 1.5-story design or presence of central air conditioning when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review comparables. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and some features. These five comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,042 to \$24,264 or from \$17.00 to \$22.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21,043 or \$19.63 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as basement foundation, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Eleanor Park, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 200 S. Wacker Drive Suite 820 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602