

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Melissa Bley
DOCKET NO.: 21-38356.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-228-064-1003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Melissa Bley, the appellant, by attorney Gregory P. Diamantopoulos, of Verros Berkshire, PC, in Oakbrook Terrace, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$18,546 **IMPR.:** \$54,954 **TOTAL:** \$73,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is an individual residential condominium unit located in a 3-unit condominium building that is approximately 10 years old. The subject unit has 34% ownership interest in the common elements of the condominium building. The condominium building has a 3,117 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant reported a recent sale and provided an appraisal of the subject.

As to the sale, the appellant completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal petition reporting the property was purchased on May 18 2021 for a price of \$735,000. The

parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold through use of a realtor and agent Leigh Marcus. The property was advertised with the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) for 21 days before going under contract. In further support of the purchase, the appellant submitted a copy of the signed Sales Contract depicting a purchase price of \$735,000. A copy of the Warranty Deed related to the sale and a copy of the Settlement Statement reiterating the date and sales price along with depicting one commission distributed as part of the transaction.

The appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject residential unit prepared in relation to the purchase transaction. The appraiser acknowledged the sale contract related to the subject of \$735,000 with no concessions and characterized the nature of the sale as arm's length. Using the sales comparison approach to value, Eric M. Glenn, a Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser, analyzed three sales and two listings to derive an opinion of value. The comparables are located within .49 of a mile from the subject. The comparable residential units range in age from 1 to 6 years old and range in size from 1,148 to 1,350 square feet of living area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, 2 bathrooms, and one assigned garage space. The comparables were sold or listed for prices ranging from \$725,000 to \$774900. The appraiser concluded a market value for the subject of \$735,000 as of April 9, 2021.

Based on the foregoing evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$73,500.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment of the subject condominium unit under appeal of \$82,639. This assessment reflects a market value of \$826,390 when applying the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2-99 property of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a document entitled Condominium Analysis Results for 2021 prepared by Lucas Schumann in which the analysis used two sales, including the sale of the subject unit. The only difference as to the subject was an indication the property sold in June 2021 for the reported sales price. The additional unit within the subject building sold in April 2020 for \$1,015,000. The additional sale reflects a 38% ownership interest in the common elements of the condominium building. Thus, adding the two sales prices reflects a total consideration (combined sales prices) of \$1,750,000 and the sold units had a combined 72% ownership interest in the common elements. Based on this data, the board of review arrived at a total value for the 3-unit building of \$2,430,555. Applying the 10% Ordinance level of assessment for class 2-99 property results in a total combined assessment for the 3-units of \$243,056.

Applying the subject's 34% ownership interest to the aforesaid assessment of the entire building depicts an assessment of \$82,639. Based on the foregoing evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or

construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Both parties presented evidence that the subject residential condominium unit sold for \$735,000 in 2021, disagreeing only whether it sold in May or June of that year.

The Board has given reduced weight to the additional sale presented by the board of review which occurred in April 2020, a date approximately 8 months prior to the lien date at issue and concerning a unit with a greater percentage of ownership in the common elements of the condominium which suggests this comparable is larger than the subject unit. In addition, based on the recent sale of the subject, this one comparable sale may be an outlier on the high-end given the 2021 sale of the subject. Most importantly, the Board finds that the board of review has neither criticized the sale of the subject as not reflective of market value and in fact utilized the sale of the subject in its own analysis.

Ordinarily, property is valued based on its fair cash value (also referred to as fair market value), "meaning the amount the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell; the buyer is ready, willing, and able to buy; and neither is under a compulsion to do so." Illini Country Club, 263 Ill. App. 3d at 418, 635 N.E.2d at 1353; see also 35 ILCS 200/9-145(a). The Illinois Supreme Court has held that a contemporaneous sale of the subject property between parties dealing at arm's length is relevant to the question of fair market value. People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Ry. Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill. 2d 158, 161, 226 N.E.2d 265, 267 (1967). A contemporaneous sale of property between parties dealing at arm's-length is a relevant factor in determining the correctness of an assessment and may be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership, 120 Ill. App. 3d 369 (1st Dist. 1983); People ex rel. Munson v. Morningside Heights, Inc., 45 Ill. 2d 338 (1970); People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill. 2d 158 (1967); and People ex rel. Rhodes v. Turk, 391 Ill. 424 (1945).

Given the foregoing caselaw and the clear uncontroverted evidence in this record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the 2021 sale price of the subject residential condominium unit for \$735,000. Next, when applying the 10% level of assessment in accordance with the Ordinance, the subject's assessment would be \$73,500 which is less than the subject's current 2021 total assessment of \$82,639.

Based on this evidence and after considering both parties' respective arguments, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted as outlined above and commensurate with the appellant's request.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
Cl	nairman
a R	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	111:10 16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Melissa Bley, by attorney: Gregory P. Diamantopoulos Verros Berkshire, PC 1S660 Midwest Road Suite 300 Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602