



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lisa Schumacher  
DOCKET NO.: 21-38015.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-20-308-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lisa Schumacher, the appellant, by attorney Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$52,080  
**IMPR.:** \$68,920  
**TOTAL:** \$121,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 3,611 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 113 years old. Features of the building include a basement finished with an apartment and a 2-car garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has an approximately 3,472 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject was found in the grid analysis submitted by the board of review and not refuted by the appellant.

construction ranging in size from 3,124 to 3,872 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 111 to 123 years old. The appellant reported “full” in the finished basement area line of the grid. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,500 to \$35,000 or from \$6.50 to \$9.24 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject’s improvement assessment be reduced to \$30,874 or \$8.55 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$121,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$68,920 or \$19.09 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and either on the same block or within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,448 to 2,850 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 95 to 110 years old. Each comparable has a basement, with two having a finished recreation room. One building has central air conditioning and three properties have either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$54,200 to \$60,200 or from \$19.23 to \$22.96 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject’s assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board’s consideration. The appellant’s comparables are more similar to the subject in building size but differ from the subject in garage amenity. In contrast, the board of review comparables are less similar to the subject in building size but are more similar to the subject in garage amenity. Furthermore, based on parcel identification numbers, the appellant’s comparables are located less proximate to the subject than the board of review comparables. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #4 which are less similar to the subject in age and/or central air conditioning.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant’s comparables along with board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are similar to the subject in age and design. However, each appellant comparable is located less proximate to the subject and lacks a garage amenity while the two board of review comparables have smaller building area suggesting these best comparables require upward adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject. These best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,500 to \$60,200 or

from \$6.50 to \$22.96 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$68,920 or \$19.09 per square foot of building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis and within the range on a per square foot basis. Given the subject's larger building area and 2-car garage amenity relative to the best comparables in the record, an overall higher improvement assessment appears logical. Therefore, after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

April 15, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lisa Schumacher, by attorney:  
Amy C. Floyd  
Attorney at Law  
57 E. Delaware  
#3101  
Chicago, IL 60611

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602