



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Menahem Deitcher  
DOCKET NO.: 21-36755.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-30-404-082-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Menahem Deitcher, the appellant, by attorney Ciarra J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$37,762  
**IMPR.:** \$90,237  
**TOTAL:** \$127,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,005 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 18 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,021 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,352 to 3,113 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 22

to 50 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, two which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces, and either a 1.5-car or a 3.5-car garage. Three comparables also feature a full attic, one of which has living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,625 to \$53,750 or from \$17.23 to \$18.58 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$53,819 or \$17.91 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$127,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$90,237 or \$30.03 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property, each of which is on the same block and three of which are on the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-78 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,720 to 3,536 square feet of living area. The homes are either 10 or 16 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces, and either a 2-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$89,570 to \$112,728 or from \$30.35 to \$32.93 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #1 which are more similar to the subject in location, design, age, and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarity in other features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$53,750 and \$89,570 or \$17.27 and \$32.93 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$90,237 or \$30.03 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in this record on an overall improvement assessment basis but is bracketed by them on a per square foot basis. The Board gives less weight to the parties' remaining comparables which differ substantially from the subject in dwelling size. After considering appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

February 18, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Menahem Deitcher, by attorney:  
Ciarra J. Schmidt  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 W. Washington St.  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602