

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: KDL Properties, Inc. DOCKET NO.: 21-36667.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-20-413-013-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are KDL Properties, Inc., the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$46,875 **IMPR.:** \$57,422 **TOTAL:** \$104,297

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 3,684 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 131 years old and features an unfinished basement. The property has an approximately 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted Property Search Details and a grid analysis with information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,528 to 3,872 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 100 to 138

years old. Each comparable has a basement, one of which is finished with an apartment. Two properties each have a 3.5-car garage. Comparable #5 has an attic finished with an apartment. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,500 to \$35,090 or from \$6.50 to \$9.21 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$29,693 or \$8.06 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$104,297. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$57,422 or \$15.59 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code and on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 3-story class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,888 to 4,410 square feet of building area. The buildings are 128 or 135 years old. Each comparable has a basement, one of which is finished with an apartment. Two properties each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,964 to \$74,310 or from \$15.68 to \$19.11 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to each of the appellant's comparables. Appellant comparables #1 and #5, based on their property index numbers, are located less proximate to the subject than other properties in the record. While appellant comparables #2 through #5 differ from the subject in age, design, finished basement and/or finished attic. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 which differ from the subject in building size and/or finished basement amenity.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, building size and most features. However, each of these properties has a garage amenity in contrast to the subject, suggesting downward adjustments are needed to account for this difference with the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$60,964 and \$74,310 or \$15.68 and \$19.11 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$57,422 or \$15.59 per square foot of building area falls below the two best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board

finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
·	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	111:10 16
	Man O

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

KDL Properties, Inc., by attorney: Dora Cornelio Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602