

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Richard Lyons
DOCKET NO.: 21-36619.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-20-315-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Lyons, the appellant, by Ciarra J. Schmidt, attorney-at-law of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$54,375 **IMPR.:** \$45,740 **TOTAL:** \$100,115

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a three-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 4,315 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 109 years old. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three full bathrooms, two half bathrooms, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,625 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 apartment building under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity regarding the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity

comparables.¹ The comparables are composed of class 2-11 properties improved with two-story or three-story buildings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 3,908 to 4,543 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 119 to 134 years old. Four comparables have a full or partial basement with three being finished with an apartment. One comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables have one or two fireplaces and three comparables have a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have 3 or 4 bathrooms and one comparable has additional 2 half bathrooms. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$38,625 to \$48,125 or from \$9.88 to \$10.61 per square foot of building area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$44,401.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$115,173. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$60,798 or \$14.09 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-11 properties improved with two-story buildings of masonry construction that range in size from 2,340 to 2,628 square feet of building area and in age from 103 to 119 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with one having finished area, and two bathrooms. One comparable has two fireplaces and two comparables have a two-car garage. These properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject and are located in the same block as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$52,074 to \$61,500 or from \$20.96 to \$25.63 per square foot of building area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables that are more similar to the subject in dwelling size than are the comparables submitted by the board of review. The appellant's comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features requiring adjustments to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$38,625 to \$48,125 or from \$9.88 to \$10.61 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$60,798 or \$14.09 per square foot of building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and

_

¹ The appellant submitted copies of the Cook County Assessor's property characteristic sheets for the comparables from which descriptive information about the comparables was obtained, which may differ from the descriptive information contained on the appellant's assessment grid analysis.

convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	Middle 14
	Clark of the Decrease Tem Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Richard Lyons, by attorney: Ciarra J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602