



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Gabriela Chong-Hinojosa
DOCKET NO.: 21-35885.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-02-217-025-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gabriela Chong-Hinojosa, the appellant(s), by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,690
IMPR.: \$30,310
TOTAL: \$34,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story¹ dwelling of masonry construction with 1,100 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 114 years old. Features of the home include a full basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,075 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five class 2-03 equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject which are located within a 0.64-mile radius of

¹ Appellant submitted that the subject property is a 1.5- to 1.9-story dwelling. The Board makes no finding on the number of stories in the dwelling and bases its decision other factors of the subject property and suggested comparable properties.

the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 109 to 134 years; in size from 1,012 to 1,255 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$12.29 to \$14.64 per square foot of living area. Appellant disclosed that this is an owner-occupied residence. Appellant submitted a claim of an erroneous total assessment amount based on miscalculation of a Home Improvement Exemption. Based on this evidence the appellant is seeking a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,310 or \$27.55 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four class 2-03 equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject which are located within a ¼-mile radius of the subject. The improvements ranged: in age from 118 to 132 years; in size from 1,008 to 1,091 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$28.78 to \$34.18 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparable properties for the Board's consideration in determining assessment equity. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 and board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4. Appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4 were similar to the subject in age, size, bathrooms, and no central air conditioning. Two of these comparables had full basements like the subject while the other had a partial basement. One of these comparables had a two-car garage like the subject while the others had no garage or a 1.5-car garage. Board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, and #4 were similar to the subject in age, size, full basement, no central air conditioning, and two-car garage. Three of these comparables had the same bathrooms as the subject while the other had one half bathroom more than the subject. Appellant submitted a claim that the total assessment of the subject property was in error because the property was granted a Home Improvement Exemption and the total assessment amount provided by the board of review is the amount less that exemption. Because the subject has a homestead improvement exemption, the increased value up to \$75,000 due to the rebuild and the new characteristics applicable to this rebuild are not to be included in establishing the assessed value of the improvement for four years after the date the rebuild was complete. 35ILCS 200/15-180.

Further, appellant gives no evidence of when the Home Improvement Exemption was granted. The Board gives no weight to claim of error based on a Home Improvement Exemption. These

comparable properties were similar to the subject and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.10 to \$34.18 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27.55 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

January 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Gabriela Chong-Hinojosa, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602