



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Maryann Zucchero  
DOCKET NO.: 21-35764.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-12-200-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Maryann Zucchero, the appellant(s), by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis in Kenosha; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$18,600  
**IMPR.:** \$72,330  
**TOTAL:** \$90,930

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with two improvements. Improvement #1 is an approximately 133 year old, two story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,016 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is a one-story single-family dwelling of frame construction with 816 square feet of living area. The property has a 3,100 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 is classified as a class 2-11 property and improvement #2 is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject regarding improvement #1. The appellant is only appealing improvement #1, as no comparables and/or grid analysis were submitted

regarding improvement #2. These suggested comparables are described as masonry construction, 1.5 or two-story dwellings. They are 122 to 144 years in age; in size from 2,076 to 2,288 square feet of living area; and an improvement assessment from \$12.74 to \$17.60 per square foot of living area. The appellant calculated the subject's improvement assessment per square foot based on improvement #1 and not for both improvements. These properties have a crawl space, slab foundations, or full basements, two fireplaces, and one- or two-car garages. The suggested comparable properties are located within a .44-mile radius of the subject property. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$51,562. The appellant disclosed that the subject property was granted a home improvement exemption. The appellant argued that the exemption was included in the assessment. The appellant submitted photos of subject and the suggested comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$90,930. The properties are located within a ¼-mile radius of the subject property. The board of review disclosed the subject is a multi-improvement including a class 2-02 classified property – one-story residence, any age, 1,000 to 1,800 square feet. The board of review submitted a property record card for PIN #16-12-200-002-0000, photos of the subject/suggested comparables and an aerial satellite view of the subject. The property record card discloses the size of the second improvement is 816 sq. feet of living area. Furthermore, the property record card discloses the assessed valuation for second improvement is \$29,490. Improvement #1 has a total improvement assessment of \$72,330 or \$25.54 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties with varying degrees of similarities to the subject regarding improvement #1. These comparables are described as masonry construction, one- or two-story dwellings. They range in age from 128 to 141 years in age; in size from 1,543 to 1,868 square feet of living area; and an improvement assessment from \$23.70 to \$30.09 per square foot of living area. These properties have full basements. The board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires that real estate taxes “be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law.” Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). This uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not require absolute equality in taxation, however, and it is sufficient if the taxing authority achieves a reasonable degree of uniformity. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 2003).

When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof needed for a conviction of a crime. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code

§1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gives no weight to the appellant's home improvement exemption argument as the appellant failed to submit any evidence of this exemption.

The Board finds the subject's total size including both improvements is improvement #1 (2,016 square feet of living area) and improvement #2 (816 square feet of living area) is 2,832 square feet of living area. The Board further finds a total improvement assessment value of \$72,330 or \$25.54 per square foot of living area for both improvements and not the appellant's claim of \$35.88. Therefore, the Board finds that the correct assessed value for only improvement #1 is \$42,840 or \$21.25 per square foot of living area.

Regarding Improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity is the board of review's suggested comparables #1 and #4 and the appellant's suggested comparable #5. The dwellings on these comparables are similar to the subject dwelling in age, construction, location, amenities and living area size.

The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$17.60 to \$26.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21.25 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering all the best comparable properties submitted by the parties with emphasis on those properties that are proximate in location, similar in size of living area, and with similar features to the subject and after further considering adjustments to the best comparable properties for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 16, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Maryann Zucchero, by attorney:  
Andreas Mamalakis  
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis  
4844 89th Place  
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602