

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Constantine Kanellos DOCKET NO.: 21-35053.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-15-215-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Constantine Kanellos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,554 **IMPR.:** \$5,445 **TOTAL:** \$8,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story, multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 2,900 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 123 years old. Features include a full basement. The property has a 3,025 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on eight comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of wood or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,444 to 3,248 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 115 to 124 years old. Five comparables each have a full or partial basement, one of which has finished

area, and three comparables each have a slab foundation. One comparable has two fireplaces. Seven comparables have either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$2,000 to \$3,446 or from \$0.82 to \$1.19 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$3,146 or \$1.08 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,999. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$5,445 or \$1.88 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,806 to 3,161 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 111 to 123 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which is finished with an apartment. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,445 to \$7,445 or from \$1.90 to \$2.36 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted twelve suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #2, and #3 which are more similar to the subject in location, design/class code, age, building size, and other features. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,445 to \$6,445 or from \$1.90 to \$2.23 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$5,445 or \$1.88 per square foot of building area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record on a per square foot basis and matches board of review comparable #1 which sets the bottom of the range on an overall basis. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #4 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other comparables in this record and/or lack a basement foundation, which is a feature of the subject, and/or has a garage, unlike the subject. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Constantine Kanellos, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602