



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kaw-Yuan Lee  
DOCKET NO.: 21-34411.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 04-16-105-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kaw-Yuan Lee, the appellant, by attorney William J. Davy, of the Law Offices of William J. Davy in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$26,000  
**IMPR.:** \$99,919  
**TOTAL:** \$125,919

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,910 square feet of living area. The home is approximately 16 years old. Features include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 4-car garage. The property has a 20,000 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information, including photographs and property information sheets, on three equity comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with class 2-09 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 6,023 to 6,666 square feet of living area. The

homes range in age from 7 to 27 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, two of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, and either one or two fireplaces. Two comparables each have a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$71,850 to \$80,630 or from \$11.93 to \$12.51 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$84,162 or \$12.18 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$125,919. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$99,919 or \$14.46 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-09 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,467 to 6,361 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 4 to 15 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces, and from a 2-car to a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$92,460 to \$123,418 or from \$14.68 to \$22.37 per square foot of living area.

Additional evidence represented by the board of review, included a copy of a warranty deed and an internet printout from ColdwellBankerHomes.com which disclosed the subject's sale in February 2021 for \$1,750,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 as well as the board of review comparables #3 and #4 which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$78,991 to \$93,379 or from \$12.51 to \$14.92 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$99,919 or \$14.46 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on an overall basis but within the range on a per square foot basis. The subject's higher improvement assessment is logical considering its larger dwelling size when compared to the best comparables. Further, the subject's assessment is well supported considering the subject's reported and unrefuted sale for \$1,750,000 which occurred less than two months after the January 1, 2021 assessment date under appeal. The Board also gives

less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size and/or age than other comparables in this record. The Board also gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 which lacks a garage, which is a feature of the subject. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 15, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kaw-Yuan Lee, by attorney:  
William J. Davy  
Law Offices of William J. Davy  
200 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 3100  
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602