

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Colin Kealey
DOCKET NO.: 21-34363.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-05-115-097-1001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Colin Kealey, the appellant, by attorney Jason W. Newton, of Schoenberg Finkel Beederman Bell Glazer LLC, in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,206 **IMPR.:** \$71,694 **TOTAL:** \$75,900

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is an individual residential unit in an eight-unit condominium building of masonry exterior construction that is approximately 2 years old. The subject has a 17% ownership interest in the common elements of the condominium. The condominium building has a 7,277 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant reported a recent purchase of the subject and completed Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition reporting the subject unit was purchased on May 17, 2021 for a price of \$759,000. The appellant reported the property was purchased from Lauren Jarrett Bavani, the parties to the transaction were not related, and the property was sold by a

realtor with Compass Realty and advertised for a period of 54 days using the Multiple Listing Service (MLS). The property was not sold due to foreclosure nor was the property sold using a contract for deed. In further support of the arm's length nature of the sale transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the MLS data sheet related to the transaction which depicted an original asking price of \$759,000 with a 1-day listing time and which closed in May 2021 for the asking price. A copy of the Settlement Statement reiterating the purchase price and sale date which also depicted the distribution of commissions to two entities. The real estate sales contract likewise depicted the sale price which was executed in March 2021. Based on the foregoing evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$75,900 reflective of the purchase price at the 10% level of assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment of the subject condominium unit under appeal of \$88,777. This assessment reflects a market value of \$887,770 when applying the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2-99 property of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a document entitled Condominium Analysis Results for 2021 prepared by Marco Fernandez in which the analysis used the stated sale of the subject unit, citing its parcel identification number, with a date of June 2021, for \$759,000 along with seven additional sales of units within the condominium that occurred from November 2019 to April 2020 for sales prices ranging from \$590,200 to \$705,000. These eight units reflect an aggregate ownership interest of 100% in the common elements of the condominium. As these eight sales reflect a total consideration of \$5,222,200. Based on these eight sales, the analysis concluded a total value for the eight-unit building of \$5,222,200. Applying the 10% Ordinance level of assessment for class 2-99 property results in a total assessment for the subject building of \$522,220. Then applying the subject's ownership interest of 17% to the total assessment conclusion for the building, would conclude the subject's correct assessment based upon comparable sales and the sale of the subject in the building is \$88,777. Based on the foregoing evidence and analysis, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant submitted evidence of the purchase price of the subject condominium unit that occurred in May 2021, approximately 5 months after to the lien date at issue herein of January 1, 2021. In contrast, the board of review provided an analysis of sales within the subject condominium building, including the sale of the subject, which would arrive at a higher assessment for the subject property.

On this record, the Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the sale of the subject that occurred in May 2021 for \$759,000. Moreover, the Board finds the five sales from 2019 which were reported by the board of review are dated for purposes of market value as of January 1, 2021 given more recent available sales.

Most importantly, the Board finds the best evidence of market value of the subject unit is its May 2021 sale price. As the subject condominium unit sold in the tax year at issue for an aggregate consideration of \$759,000, including land, the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Ordinance shall apply to correct assessment of the subject unit to \$75,900, including land.

Based on this record and after analyzing the sale of the subject property in the record which was not refuted by the board of review, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's tax year 2021 assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Colin Kealey, by attorney: Jason W. Newton Schoenberg Finkel Beederman Bell Glazer LLC 300 S. Wacker Drive Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602