



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ron Piekarz
DOCKET NO.: 21-33378.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-25-229-045-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Ron Piekarz, the appellant, by attorney John P. Brady, of Tully & Associates, LTD. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, PTAB hereby finds **No Change** in the Cook County Board of Review's assessment of the property is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,755
IMPR.: \$32,569
TOTAL: \$39,324

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a Cook County Board of Review decision pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) contesting the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3,544 square feet, two-story masonry building perched on a 1,351 square feet lot in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The 103-year-old, class 2-12 residence per the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance featured at least two full bathrooms, no air conditioning, no garage, and a full basement.¹

The appellant pleads assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal, arguing that the subject improvement assessment should be reduced to \$3.58 per living square foot. To show subject assessment nonuniformity, the appellant presented information on nine class 2-12 properties in the subject's neighborhood. These suggested comparables each included no garage to a 2.5-car garage,

¹ The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) notes inconsistencies between the appellant's description of the subject and the board of review's description. After holistically considering all evidence in the record, PTAB concludes the inconsistencies are immaterial to the outcome.

air conditioning in properties #2 and #4, a full or partial basement, and two to four bathrooms. The appellant's selections were 90 to 144 years in building age; 3,210 to 13,915 square feet in area; and \$3.58 to \$8.53 per living square foot in assessment.

The board of review countered that the subject improvement assessment of \$32,569, or \$9.19 per living square foot, was equitable in its "Notes on Appeal." In defense of the \$39,324 total subject assessment, the county board of review introduced into evidence four multi-level improvements in the subject's subarea as assessment comparables. The county board of review's preferred comparators contained 2.5 to four bathrooms, air conditioning in property #1, no garage or a four-car garage, and a partial basement or slab foundation. These 98- to 123-year-old improvements contained 3,000 to 3,780 square feet and were assessed at \$9.46 to \$11.43 per living square foot.

At hearing, the appellant argued the non-owner-occupied, three-unit building was over-assessed based on the nine appellant comparables, particularly appellant comparables #5 through #9, whereas the board of review's comparables were far from the subject property, rendering them incomparable. The board of review rested on the evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The Illinois Constitution requires real estate taxes "be levied uniformly by valuation ascertained as the General Assembly shall provide by law." Ill. Const., art. IX, § 4 (1970); Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998). Yet this uniformity provision of the Illinois Constitution does not mandate absolute equality in taxation; instead, a reasonable degree of uniformity in the taxing authority's assessments suffices. Peacock v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 339 Ill. App. 3d 1060, 1070 (4th Dist. 2003).

When a property tax appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment, appellants must prove assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e); Walsh, 181 Ill. 2d at 234 (1998). Clear and convincing evidence means more than a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not need to approach the degree of proof required for a criminal conviction. Bazyldo v. Volant, 164 Ill. 2d 207, 213 (1995). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation for the year in question of similarly situated properties of compelling proximity to, and with a lack of distinguishing characteristics from, the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) finds the appellant did not satisfy this burden of proof.

Of the parties' submissions, board of review comparables #3 and #4 and appellant comparable #5 most resembled the subject property. Board of review comparable #4 had an inferior basement relative to the subject, for which the comparator compensated with more living square feet and bathrooms. Board of review comparable #3, on the other hand, had the same bathroom count as the subject and a smaller basement, which were offset by the comparator's larger living area. Appellant comparable #5 likewise had a larger improvement but worse basement, though it was of unknown distance from the subject. Given this evidence, the subject would be equitably assessed between \$8.33 and \$10.72 per improvement square foot. Because the subject improvement assessment of \$9.19 per living square foot lands within this equitable range, PTAB finds the appellant did not prove that a subject assessment reduction is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

October 20, 2026



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ron Piekarz, by attorney:
John P. Brady
Tully & Associates, LTD.
222 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1925
Chicago, IL 60601

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602