

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kenneth Fils
DOCKET NO.: 21-31711.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-19-307-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kenneth Fils, the appellant, by Robert Rosenfeld, attorney-at-law of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$39,675 **IMPR.:** \$35,910 **TOTAL:** \$75,585

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a three-story multi-family building of frame exterior construction containing 3,000 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 114 years old. Features of the building include a slab foundation and four bathrooms. The property has a 3,174 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 apartment building under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

¹ In Section III of the appeal the appellant indicated the subject property did not have central air conditioning, however, in Section V grid analysis of the appeal the appellant indicated the subject has central air conditioning. The board of review described the subject property as not having central air conditioning, which was not refuted by the appellant in rebuttal.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-11 properties improved with buildings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,940 to 3,447 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 123 to 133 years old. Two comparables have partial basements, one comparable has a crawl space foundation, and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. The comparables have three or four full bathrooms and comparable #2 has an additional half bathroom. Three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The appellant also indicated in the grid analysis that the subject and the comparables have central air conditioning. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$28,250 to \$31,938 or from \$8.62 to \$9.66 per square foot of building area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$28,020.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$75,585. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,910 or \$11.97 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables consisting of class 2-11 properties improved with two-story or three-story buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,520 to 3,220 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 113 to 133 years old. Three comparables have a full basement with one having finished area and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. These properties have two to four bathrooms. Two comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables have two fireplaces each, and one comparable has a 2-car garage. These properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located in the "subarea" or ¼ of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$36,500 to \$43,937 or from \$13.00 to \$16.17 per square foot of building area. The board of review argued that the building assessed value for the comparables are equal or higher than the subject, which supports the 2021 assessed value as equitable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight assessment equity comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1 due to differences from the subject building in size. The six remaining comparables range in size from 2,717 to 3,305 square feet of building area and in age from 123 to 133 years old. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in features. These properties have improvement assessments that range from \$28,250 to \$43,937 or from

\$9.48 to \$16.17 per square foot of building area. Three comparables have either a crawl space foundation or a slab foundation, somewhat similar to the subject's slab foundation, with improvement assessments of \$28,250, \$31,938 and \$43,937 or \$9.61, \$9.66 and \$16.17 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,910 or \$11.97 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	solet Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 18, 2025
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kenneth Fils, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602