

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Breck Seitz

DOCKET NO.: 21-30771.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-05-413-120-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Breck Seitz, the appellant, by attorney Donald T. Rubin, of Golan Christie Taglia LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,248 **IMPR.:** \$46,752 **TOTAL:** \$54,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,900 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 1,208 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties presented differing evidence regarding the subject's garage size. The appellants reported a 2-car garage in Section III of the petition and in the grid analysis but submitted a property information sheet describing a 3-car garage. The board of review reported a 2-car garage. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject has a 2-car garage.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-95 homes of masonry exterior construction with 1,838 or 1,900 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 22 years old. Each comparable has central air conditioning and one comparable has a fireplace. The appellant reported each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,383 to \$36,717 or from \$11.63 to \$19.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$27,835.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$54,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$46,752 or \$24.61 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and on the same block and street as the subject. The comparables are improved with 3-story, class 2-95 homes of masonry exterior construction with 1,900 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 22 years old. Each home has a slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,782 to \$46,890 or from \$24.62 to \$24.68 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's assessment be sustained.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #4, which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than the other comparables in this record and lack a fireplace that is a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparables which are identical to the subject in dwelling and similar to the subject in age, location, and features. These comparables are located on the same street or within the same block as the subject and have improvement assessments that range from \$28,986 to \$46,890 or from \$15.26 to \$24.68 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$46,752 or \$24.61 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

### PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Breck Seitz, by attorney: Brianna L. Golan Golan Christie Taglia LLP 70 West Madison Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602