

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Petrozzini Family Real Estate, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 21-30711.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-36-309-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Petrozzini Family Real Estate, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Dora Cornelio, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$13,950 **IMPR.:** \$30,050 **TOTAL:** \$44,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-story building<sup>1</sup> of frame exterior construction with 2,160 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 131 years old. Features include a slab foundation and a 2-car garage. The property has a 3,100 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 buildings of masonry or frame and masonry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties described the subject as a 1-story building but presented photographs of a multi-story building. The Board finds the subject is a multi-story building.

exterior construction ranging in size from 2,178 to 2,324 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 38 to 143 years old. The photograph of comparable #4 presented by the appellant depicts a boarded-up building. Two comparables have a basement, two comparables have central air conditioning, and two comparables have a 2-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$7,042 to \$17,288 or from \$3.23 to \$7.44 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$14,148.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$44,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,050 or \$13.91 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject, one of which is on the same block as the subject and one of which is 0.25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story or multi-story, 2 class 2-11 buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,824 to 2,036 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 123 to 133 years old. Each comparable has a basement, three of which are finished with an apartment. Three comparables have a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$33,470 to \$36,499 or from \$17.06 to \$19.21 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #4, and #5 and the board of review's comparables #1, #3, and #4, due to substantial differences from the subject in age, condition, and/or building size. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which has a considerably lower improvement assessment than the other comparables in this record, suggesting this property may be an outlier.

<sup>2</sup> The Board finds comparable #1 is a multi-story building based on the photograph of this property submitted by the board of review.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #2, which are more similar to the subject in building size, age, location, and some features, although these comparables each have a basement unlike the subject, one comparable has central air conditioning unlike the subject, one comparable is a 1-story building unlike the subject, and one comparable lacks a garage that is a feature of the subject, suggesting adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$14,903 and \$34,725 or \$6.55 and \$17.06 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$30,050 or \$13.91 per square foot of building area is bracketed by the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
·	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025	
	Middle	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Petrozzini Family Real Estate, LLC, by attorney: Dora Cornelio Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602