

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 2225 W Homer, LLC DOCKET NO.: 21-30643.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-31-303-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 2225 W Homer, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,800 IMPR.: \$89,829 TOTAL: \$106,629

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,218 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 133 years old. The home features a full basement that is finished with a formal recreation room, two full bathrooms, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a 2,400 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review disclosed the subject dwelling has a full basement finished with a formal recreation room, which was not refuted by the appellant.

as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,251 to 2,392 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 77 to 130 years old. According to the property characteristic printouts provided by the appellant, four comparables each have a full basement, one of which is finished with a recreation room. Each comparable has one or two full bathrooms, two comparables each have an additional half bathroom, one comparable has central air conditioning and four comparables each have either a 3-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$52,200 to \$59,900 or from \$21.93 to \$26.61 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$55,782 or \$25.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$106,629. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$89,829 or \$40.50 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property, one of which is also located along the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,268 to 3,600 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 118 to 138 years old. Two comparables each have a crawl space foundation and two comparables each have a full basement that is finished with a formal recreation room. Each comparable has two or three full bathrooms, two comparables each have an additional half bathroom, three comparables have central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and three comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$101,200 to \$107,605 or from \$29.89 to \$45.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4, as well as board of review comparables #2 and #4, due to their lack of a basement foundation and/or their lack of basement finish, when compared to the subject. The Board has also given weight to the appellant's comparable #5 and board of review comparable #4, which are less similar to the subject dwelling in age or size, than are the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #3, which have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. These two comparables are overall more similar to the subject dwelling in size and foundation type but have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in age, bathroom count and central air conditioning, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments of \$101,200 and \$103,920 or \$41.26 and \$45.82 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$89,829 or \$40.50 per square foot of living area falls below the two best comparables in the record both in terms of total improvement assessment and on a per square foot value. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables in the record for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	Midd 214

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

2225 W Homer, LLC, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602