

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Lamme, Trustee

DOCKET NO.: 21-30616.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-25-106-004-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Lamme, Trustee, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,050 **IMPR.:** \$35,619 **TOTAL:** \$42,669

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story multi-family building of masonry exterior construction with 4,392 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 96 years old. Features of the building include a full unfinished basement, three full bathrooms and a 4-car garage. The property has a 6,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review disclosed the subject building has a full unfinished basement, which was not refuted by the appellant.

comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. According to the property characteristic printouts provided by the appellant, the comparables are improved with two-story or three-story multi-family buildings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,144 to 4,680 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are from 115 to 143 years old. Four comparables each have a full or partial basement, one of which is finished with an apartment and one comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has three full bathrooms and either a 3.5-car, a 4-car or a 6 or more-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$17,656 to \$19,493 or from \$3.90 to \$4.28 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$18,314 or \$4.17 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,669. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,619 or \$8.11 per square foot of gross building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on seven equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject.<sup>2</sup> The comparables are located approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of a mile from the subject property or within the subject's subarea. The comparables are improved with two-story or three-story multi-family buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,864 to 4,734 square feet of gross building area. The buildings are from 115 to 131 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, four of which are either finished with an apartment or a formal recreation room. Each comparable has three or six full bathrooms and one comparable has three additional half bathrooms, central air conditioning and a fireplace. Four comparables each have a 2-car or a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$34,571 to \$48,515 or from \$8.18 to \$11.08 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted twelve comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's five comparables, as well as board of review

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The board of review provided two separate grid analyses, where one grid contained information on three comparables and the second grid contained information on four comparables. For ease of reference, the Board has renumbered the second set of four comparables as #5 through #8.

comparables #1, #2, #3, #6 and #7 which differ from the subject building in story height or foundation type and/or they lack a garage, a feature of the subject. Additionally, the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, along with board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #6 have central air conditioning and/or basement finish, unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #4 and #5, which are three-story buildings, like the subject and overall are most similar to the subject in location and building size. However, the Board finds both buildings are somewhat older in age and board of review comparable #5 has a smaller garage capacity, suggesting upward adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these two comparables have improvement assessments of \$34,571 and \$48,515 or \$8.18 and \$11.08 per square foot of gross building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,619 or \$8.11 per square foot of gross building area is bracketed by the two best comparables in the record in terms of total improvement assessment and is less than the comparables on a per square foot basis. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

William Lamme, Trustee, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602