

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Maxa Group LLC - Series 1633

DOCKET NO.: 21-30581.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-36-429-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Maxa Group LLC - Series 1633, the appellant, by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,500 IMPR.: \$37,387 TOTAL: \$50,887

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story, multi-family building of frame exterior construction with 2,620 square feet of gross building area. The building is approximately 131 years old. Features include a full basement¹ and a 1-car garage. The property has a 3,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,448 to 2,876 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from

¹ The board of review disclosed the subject has an unfinished basement which was unrefuted by the appellant.

103 to 137 years old. Four comparables each have a full basement, two of which have finished area, and one comparable was reported to have "None" for basement area. Each comparable has from a 1.5-car to a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,167 to \$27,180 or from \$7.45 to \$9.88 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,641 or \$8.26 per square foot of gross building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$50,887. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$37,387 or \$14.27 per square foot of gross building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables that are located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code. The comparables are improved with 2-story, class 2-11 multi-family buildings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,582 to 2,783 square feet of gross building area. The buildings range in age from 126 to 143 years old. Three comparables each have a full basement, two which are finished with an apartment, and one comparable has a slab foundation. One comparable has central air conditioning. Two comparables each have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,493 to \$53,927 or from \$14.55 to \$19.83 per square foot of gross building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #3, #4, and #5 as well as the board of review comparables #1, #2, and #3 which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, and building size with varying degrees of similarity in other features suggesting appropriate adjustments area required for these differences to make them more equivalent to the subject. These seven comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,425 to \$53,927 or from \$7.45 to \$19.83 per square foot of gross building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$37,387 or \$14.27 per square foot of gross building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #4 due to differences in foundation type when compared to the subject. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 20, 2025
	111-11716
	Mand
	Clade of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Maxa Group LLC - Series 1633, by attorney: Ciarra Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602