



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jeffrey Winter
DOCKET NO.: 21-30464.001-R-1 through 21-30464.003-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeffrey Winter, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
21-30464.001-R-1	03-32-307-005-0000	5,445	24,782	\$30,227
21-30464.002-R-1	03-32-307-025-0000	2,062	0	\$2,062
21-30464.003-R-1	03-32-307-042-0000	1,361	0	\$1,361

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of three separate PINs; however, as noted in a letter from the appellant, only PIN 03-32-307-005-0000 is being appealed. The improvement on the subject property consists of an 80-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction with 1,981 square feet of living area. The property has a 6,600 square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County. Features of the building include a full basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, and a garage. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The record reflects that the subject property is owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three suggested equity comparables. They were improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction or frame and

masonry construction. The comparable properties were located within 0.1 miles of the subject property. The improvements were each 80 years old and ranged: in size from 2,123 to 2,130 square feet; and in improvement assessment from \$11.56 to \$13.30 per square foot of living area. One of the comparable properties had an unfinished full basement and two of the comparable properties had a full basement with a formal recreation room. Additionally, each of the comparable properties had air conditioning and a garage.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,429. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,429 or \$15.36 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. They are improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction or frame and masonry construction. The comparable properties were located within the same subarea as the subject property. The improvements ranged: in age from 66 to 91 years; in size from 1,770 to 2,015 square feet of living area; and in assessment from \$16.81 to \$25.19 per square foot. One of the comparable had a partial basement with a formal recreation room one of the comparable properties had an unfinished full basement, one of the comparable properties had an unfinished partial basement, and one of the comparable properties a full basement with a formal recreation room. Additionally, three of the comparable properties had central air conditioning and each of the properties had a garage.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$11.56 to \$13.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.36 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 22, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeffrey Winter
617 S. Burton Pl.
Arlington Heights, IL 60005

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602