

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 1723 N. Wolcott, LLC DOCKET NO.: 21-30399.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-31-418-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 1723 N. Wolcott, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,328 IMPR.: \$35,205 TOTAL: \$55,533

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 684 square feet of living area, and which is approximately 131 years old. Features include a full unfinished basement, and 1½ bathrooms,. The property has a 2,904 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject along with property characteristics printouts that were used to make corrections/additions to the Section V grid analysis. The comparables consist of class 2-02 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction which range in age from 56 to 147 years old. The

dwellings each contain either 900 or 960 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which is finished as a recreation room, and 1 or 1½ bathrooms. One comparable has a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$33,900 to \$35,200 or from \$35.31 to \$38.04 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$25,992 or \$38.00 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$55,533. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,205 or \$51.47 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and either in the subarea or within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-02 one-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction which range in age from 120 to 133 years old. The dwellings range in size from 672 to 684 square feet of living area. Two comparable have full basements, one of which is finished with a recreation room and two comparables have concrete slab foundations. The homes have 1 or 2½ bathrooms and comparable #4 has central air conditioning. Three comparables have from a 1-car to a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$39,200 to \$48,735 or from \$58.33 to \$71.25 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #3, due to substantially larger dwelling sizes of approximately 31.5% or 40% when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #4, which has air conditioning, not a feature of the subject, and appears to be an outlier based on the assessments of the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3, which are each relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age, and some other features. Each of these best comparables necessitate adjustment for slightly inferior bathroom counts when compared to the subject and two comparables need upward adjustments

for lack of a basement foundation which is a feature of the subject. Each best comparable needs adjustment for garage amenity when compared to the subject which does not have a garage. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$39,200 to \$40,200 or from \$58.33 to \$59.12 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,205 or \$51.47 per square foot of living area is below the range of the best comparables both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	111:10 16
	Man Di

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

1723 N. Wolcott, LLC, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602