



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kevin Mehok
DOCKET NO.: 21-27655.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-29-105-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Mehok, the appellant, by attorney Andreas Mamalakis, of the Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis, in Kenosha, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,649
IMPR.: \$34,996
TOTAL: \$41,645

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal. By correspondence dated June 18, 2025, the appellant waived the hearing request.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,577 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 25 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage.¹ The property has a 10,230 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and from .26 of a mile to 1.09-miles from

¹ The board of review reported a two-car garage, and the appellant did not refute that claim in rebuttal.

the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction. The comparables range in age from 8 to 33 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,049 to 3,492 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,694 to \$28,546 or from \$5.93 to \$8.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$27,436 or \$7.67 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$41,645. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$34,996 or \$9.78 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and the same block/street or ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction which are 21 to 26 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2,850 to 3,505 square feet of living area. Each home has a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,441 to \$35,738 or from \$10.13 to \$12.08 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine suggested equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board which are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #4 as well as board of review comparable #1, which each differ substantially in age or dwelling size, when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best equity comparables in the record are appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #5 along with the board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4, which range in age from 21 to 33 years old, indicating adjustments are necessary to several of the comparables to make them more similar to the subject in age of 25 years old. The best comparables also necessitate adjustments for differences in dwelling size, and/or garage capacity when compared to the subject in order to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. The best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,120 to \$35,738 or from \$7.94 to \$10.54 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34,996 or \$9.78 per square

foot of living area is within the range of the best comparables both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 23, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kevin Mehok, by attorney:
Andreas Mamalakis
Law Offices of Andreas Mamalakis
4844 89th Place
Kenosha, WI 53142

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602