

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Regino Ramirez
DOCKET NO.: 21-26288.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 29-01-300-061-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Regino Ramirez, the appellant, by attorney John J. Piegore, of Sanchez, Daniels & Hoffman LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,730 **IMPR.:** \$14,653 **TOTAL:** \$16,383

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story building of masonry construction with 3,759 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 48 years old. Features include a full basement apartment, central air conditioning and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 4,326 square foot site and is located in Calumet City, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 buildings of masonry construction ranging in size from 2,756 to 4,134 square feet of building area. The buildings are 47 years old. The

comparables have full basements and 2-car garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$10,486 to \$14,895 or from \$3.60 to \$3.80 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$16,383. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$14,653 or \$3.90 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties located on the same block and within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 buildings of masonry construction with 3,858 or 4,698 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 45 to 51 years old. The comparables have full basement apartments and 2-car garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,331 to \$22,362 or from \$3.97 to \$4.92 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3, as well as the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #3. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject. However, each of the best comparables lacks central air conditioning when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$14,247 to \$18,979 or from \$3.66 to \$4.92 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$14,653 or \$3.90 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as their lack of central air conditioning, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is well supported. The Board gave less weight to the parties' remaining comparables, due to their differences in building size when compared to the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	111-11716
	Mana

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Regino Ramirez, by attorney: John J. Piegore Sanchez, Daniels & Hoffman LLP 333 West Wacker Drive Suite 500 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602