



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jeffrey Boyd
DOCKET NO.: 21-25076.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-27-301-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeffrey Boyd, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,120
IMPR.: \$83,752
TOTAL: \$104,872

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,570 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 95 years old. The home features a full unfinished basement, three full bathrooms, one half bathroom, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,800 square foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are improved with dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,900 to 4,050 square feet of living area. The dwellings are

from 82 to 110 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement. No data was provided by the appellant concerning finished basement area. Comparable #2 has central air conditioning. Each comparable has either one or three full bathrooms, one to three fireplaces and either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$69,806 to \$82,252 or from \$17.90 to \$20.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.¹

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$104,872. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$83,752 or \$23.46 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code and property classification code as the subject. The comparables are located within the same block or approximately ¼ of a mile from the subject property, two of which are also on the same street as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,135 to 3,506 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 93 to 111 years old. The comparables each have a full basement, three of which have finished area. Three comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has from one to four full bathrooms, one or two fireplaces and either a 2-car, a 2.5-car or a 3-car garage. Three comparables each have an additional half bathroom. Comparable #4 reportedly has other improvements but the board of review did not provide a description of these improvements. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$76,211 to \$105,592 or from \$23.88 to \$30.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4, along with board of review comparables #1 and #2, which differ from the subject in dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #3, along with board of review comparables #3 and #4, which are more similar to the subject in

¹ In section 2c(2) of the appeal petition, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$20,221 or \$5.66 per square foot of living area, which differs from the requested improvement amount depicted in the appellant's brief of \$68,687 or \$19.24 per square foot of living area.

location, dwelling size and age. However, these four comparables have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject, suggesting adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$69,806 to \$105,592 or from \$17.90 to \$30.12 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$83,752 or \$23.46 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2025

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeffrey Boyd, by attorney:
Abby L. Strauss
Schiller Law P.C.
33 North Dearborn
Suite 1130
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602