

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Kooy

DOCKET NO.: 21-22358.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 30-31-425-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Kooy, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,305 **IMPR.:** \$23,992 **TOTAL:** \$27,297

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 3-story building of masonry exterior construction with 5,676 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 47 years old. Features of the building include six full bathrooms and a concrete slab foundation. The property has an approximately 8,815 square foot site and is located in Lansing, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 4,239 to 4,540 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 55 to 57 years old. The buildings have either a crawl space or a concrete slab foundation. Each building has central air conditioning, four full bathrooms and a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. Two

comparables each have one half bathroom. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$15,858 to \$16,662 or \$3.49 and \$3.93 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,682 or \$3.82 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,297. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$23,992 or \$4.23 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The board of review reported that the comparables are improved with class 2-11, 2-story or 3-story buildings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 4,041 to 5,670 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 54 to 95 years old. Three building each have either a full or a partial basement, one finished with an apartment, one building has a concrete slab foundation. Each building has either four or six full bathrooms. Three buildings each have either a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$20,522 to \$27,504 or from \$4.33 to \$5.29 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 due to their older building ages when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables along with board of review comparables #1 and #4. The Board finds that these comparables are most similar to the subject in location and age. However, seven comparables have a garage, six comparables have fewer bathrooms, five comparables are smaller in building size and four comparables have central air conditioning, suggesting adjustments would be required to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,858 to \$27,504 or from \$3.49 to \$4.85 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$23,922 or \$4.23 per square foot of building area, falls within the range of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the

subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
C R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 17, 2025
	111-11716
	Mana

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Kooy, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602