



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jared James Bell
DOCKET NO.: 21-21423.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-01-407-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jared James Bell, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,865
IMPR.: \$81,483
TOTAL: \$102,348

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,211 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 13 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a formal recreation room, 5½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 15,456 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables¹ located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The appellant supplied property characteristics printouts with additional data concerning basement, basement finish and

¹ For ease of reference, the Board has renumbered the last property to comparable #5.

half-bath features which have been added for clarity. The comparables consist of class 2-08 two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 51 to 61 years old and range in size from 3,848 to 4,299 square feet of living area. Four comparables have partial basements, one of which has a formal recreation room and comparable #3 has no basement foundation. The homes have from 2½ to 4½ bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. Four of the comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$36,995 to \$55,530 or from \$8.84 to \$13.08 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$48,089 or \$11.42 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$102,348. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$81,483 or \$19.35 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, where comparable #2 is a duplicate of the subject property based upon its identical parcel identification number. Thus, the board of review has presented three suggested equity comparables which are not located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. These three comparables consist of class 2-08 two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that are either 2 or 10 years old. The homes range in size from 4,427 to 4,779 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement with a formal recreation room, 4 to 6 bathrooms, and 1 or 3 half-baths. The homes have central air conditioning, two or four fireplaces, and a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,249 to \$204,425 or from \$24.12 to \$46.18 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables, when the duplication of the subject by the board of review is removed, in order to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #3, due to the lack of a basement foundation which is a feature of the subject. The Board has also given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 along with board of review comparable #4, due to significant differences in age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity in the record are appellant's comparable #5 as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3, which are each relatively similar to the subject in dwelling size, foundation type, and some features. Adjustments for differences in age, bathroom count, dwelling size, basement size and/or basement finish are necessary to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject dwelling. Adjustments are also necessary for differences in fireplace count and garage capacity to make these comparables more equivalent to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,530 to \$128,188 or from \$13.08 to \$26.84 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$81,483 or \$19.35 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot of living area basis.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject property, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2025

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jared James Bell, by attorney:
Noah J. Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 W. Washington St.
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602