

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ronda Korzon
DOCKET NO.: 21-20696.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-407-043-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ronda Korzon, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,077 **IMPR.:** \$78,021 **TOTAL:** \$94,098

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,524 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 32 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,656 square foot site located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are class 2-78 properties improved with dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,758 to 2,990 square feet of living area and range in age from 20 to 44 years old. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, central air

conditioning, a fireplace, and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,181 to \$61,867 or from \$19.85 to \$20.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$94,098. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$78,021 or \$22.14 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are class 2-78 properties improved with 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,298 to 3,480 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 21 to 25 years old. The homes have full or partial basements, two of which have a formal recreation room. Each home has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$76,160 to \$94,936 or from \$22.70 to \$27.45 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables which are significantly smaller in dwelling size relative to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size and have varying degrees of similarity in location, design, age, and features. Two of these comparables, however, have finished basement areas which is not a feature of the subject dwelling, suggesting that downward adjustments are needed to these comparables in order to make them more equivalent to the subject. The best comparables in the record have improvement assessments ranging from \$76,160 to \$94,936 or from \$22.70 to \$27.45 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$78,021 or \$22.14 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record in terms of overall improvement assessment and below the range on a per square foot of living area basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement is equitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	111:10) 16
	Man O
	Clark of the Decrease Town Array 1 Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Ronda Korzon , by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602