

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Virgil Seribo

DOCKET NO.: 21-20193.001-R-1 through 21-20193.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Virgil Seribo, the appellant(s), by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
21-20193.001-R-1	01-03-101-018-0000	4,509	0	\$4,509
21-20193.002-R-1	01-03-101-029-0000	23,614	48,581	\$72,195

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

Appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two property identification numbers (PINs) on a 236,139 square foot parcel of land. One PIN is improved with a 58-year-old, 2-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling, containing 4,566 square feet of living area. The property is located in Barrington Hills, Barrington Township, Cook County and is a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

Appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of its inequity argument, appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. Each of the comparable properties were improved with a single-family residence of either masonry or frame construction. They ranged in living area square feet from 4,238 to 4,747 and in assessment between \$9.21 and \$9.76 per square foot of living area. Appellant also submitted a copy of the board of review's decision letter reflecting its total assessed valuation for the subject property of

\$72,193 for one PIN and \$4,508 for the other PIN. Based on this evidence, appellant requested a reduction in the subject property's total assessment to \$62,869 for the one PIN and \$4,508 for the other PIN.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" depicting a total assessed valuation for the subject property of \$72,193, with an improvement assessment of \$48,580, or \$10.64 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four comparable properties. Each of the comparable properties were improved with a 2-story, single-family dwelling of either stucco, masonry, or frame and masonry construction. They ranged in size between 3,910 and 4,589 square feet of living area and in assessment between \$11.29 and \$14.45 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #3 and the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #3. These comparables were most similar to the subject property in living area square footage. They had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9.21 to \$13.76 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.64 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Cha	Chairman		
C R			
Member	Member		
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley		
Member	Member		
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFIC</u>	<u> </u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in	complete Final Administrative Decision of the		

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

June 18, 2024

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Virgil Seribo, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602