

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tarun & Nidhi Kukreja

DOCKET NO.: 21-07342.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-01-221-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tarun & Nidhi Kukreja, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$98,510 **IMPR.:** \$265,280 **TOTAL:** \$363,790

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

Although originally the DuPage County Board of Review requested a hearing in this matter, the request was subsequently waived in lieu of a decision to be issued on the written record.

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,316 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1993. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 496 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 9,534 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on 16 equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The

comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, brick or frame and brick exterior construction that range in size from 2,986 to 3,569 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1986 to 1999. Each comparable has a basement, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 414 to 852 square feet of building area. Fourteen dwellings have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$186,030 to \$261,870 or from \$59.83 to \$73.68 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$223,020 or \$67.26 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$393,850. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$295,340 or \$89.07 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted a Comparable Report containing supplemental property details for 14 of the appellants' 16 comparable properties. The Comparable Report disclosed twelve of the comparables as having finished basement area and that only appellants' comparable #14 lacks central air conditioning. The board of review contended that the subject property has a grade of 1.8 and that the appellants' comparables with a grade of 1.7 are inferior to the subject. In support of this contention, the board of review submitted copies of the property record cards for the subject, 14 of the appellants' and all of the board of review comparable properties which reports each property's grade.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with a two-story or a three-story dwelling of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,092 to 3,435 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1988 to 2002. Each comparable has a basement, with three having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 738 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$284,470 to \$318,150 or from \$85.11 to \$92.62 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal the appellants' counsel objected to unsupported hearsay evidence alleged by the board of review with respect to grade of comparable properties. Counsel argued that only above grade living area should be considered for a uniformity argument. Counsel also critiqued the board of review's comparables #2, #4 and #5 asserting each of the properties are not comparable to the subject due to their different three-story style. Counsel submitted two rebuttal grids, one grid with both parties' comparables and one grid containing its suggested "best equity comparable sales for further clarity."

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review's Comparable Report for the appellants' equity comparables reported an incorrect property for the appellants' comparable #15 and included information only for the appellants' comparables #1 thru #14.

proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted 22 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables #2, #4, #5, #6, #7, #10, #13 and #14 along with board of review comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5 which are dissimilar to the subject in design/style, lack central air conditioning and/or are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellants' comparables #1, #3, #8, #9, #11, #12, #15 and #16 as well as board of review comparables #3 and #6 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and some features. Although seven of these ten best comparables have finished basement area in contrast to the subject's unfinished basement, suggesting a downward adjustment is needed to make these properties more equivalent to the subject. These best comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$188,050 to \$318,150 or from \$59.83 to \$92.62 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$295,340 or \$89.07 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. However, after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, such as finished basement area, the Board finds the appellants demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
CAR .	
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 27, 2023
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Tarun & Nidhi Kukreja, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187