

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Mohah-Rao Kethineed
DOCKET NO .:	21-06930.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	07-34-313-037

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mohah-Rao Kethineed, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$33,350
IMPR.:	\$94,090
TOTAL:	\$127,440

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and brick exterior construction with 2,323 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1997 and is approximately 24 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 420 square foot 2-car garage. The property has an approximately 6,300 square foot site¹ and is located in Naperville, Naperville Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within 0.50 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 6,264 to 6,307 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and brick exterior construction

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject's site size was found in its property record card which included lot size measurements of $60' \times 105'$.

ranging in size from 2,474 to 2,738 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1995 to 1998. Each comparable has a basement, with two having finished area. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one fireplace² and a 2-car garage. The properties sold from August 2019 to January 2021 for prices ranging from \$390,000 to \$440,000 or from \$153.06 to \$160.70 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$122,168 which reflects a market value of \$366,541 or \$157.79 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$127,440. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$381,214 or \$164.10 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 threeyear average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.43% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,420 to 12,636 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,282 to 2,461 square feet of living area. The homes were built in 1996 or 1997. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. Two comparables each have one fireplace. The properties sold from September to November 2020 for prices ranging from \$410,000 to \$427,000 or from \$173.51 to \$179.67 per square foot of living area, land included.

The board of review, through the Naperville Township Assessors Office, included comments contending the appellant's comparables support the subject's market value based on assessment, noting the smaller dwelling sizes of the appellant's comparable sales results in a higher per square foot of sale price figure. The assessor critiqued appellant comparables #1 and #2 as having older 2019 sale dates. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparables #1 and #2 which sold in 2019, less proximate to the January 1, 2021 assessment date than other properties in the record. The Board gives less weight to

 $^{^{2}}$ The board of review submitted copies of the property record cards for each of the appellant's comparable sales which disclosed each dwelling has one fireplace.

appellant comparables #2 and #3 which have finished basement area in contrast to the subject's unfinished basement. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparable #2 which has a substantially larger site size when compared to the site size of the subject's and other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These two best comparables sold in September and November 2020 for prices of \$410,000 and \$427,000 or for \$173.51 and 179.67 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$381,214 or \$164.10 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the two best comparable sales in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is justified and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 19, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mohah-Rao Kethineed, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 33 North LaSalle Street 28th Floor Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187